



Instructor with Comments Report

2013-11-28 - 2013-12-12 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kerner, Andrew Michael
POLSCI 498 001

	Responses from your Students**											Other Users of This Item*		
							Your Median	University Wide			School/College			
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA		75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	6	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	3.92	4.30	4.71	3.92	4.25	4.56	
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	6	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.17	4.63	4.85	4.14	4.50	4.77	
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	6	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.00	4.36	4.71	4.00	4.33	4.68	
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	6	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	3.56	4.13	4.60	3.86	4.20	4.55	
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	6	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	3.93	4.17	4.50				
140 I deepened my interest in the subject matter of this course.	6	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	3.81	4.20	4.60				
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	4	2	0	0	0	0	4.75	3.57	4.03	4.56				
163 I developed greater awareness of societal problems.	4	1	0	1	0	0	4.75	4.20	4.50	4.75				
165 I learned to value new viewpoints.	4	2	0	0	0	0	4.75	4.00	4.17	4.50				
173 I increased my awareness of my own interests and talents.	3	3	0	0	0	0	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.80				
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	2	2	0	0	0	2	4.50	3.85	4.13	4.50				
363 The examinations were graded very carefully and fairly.	3	1	0	0	0	2	4.83	n/a	n/a	n/a				
394 I learned to apply principles to other problems and issues.	3	2	0	0	0	0	4.67	n/a	n/a	n/a				
891 The workload for this course was (SA=LIGHT...SD=HEAVY).	0	1	2	2	0	0	2.75	2.50	3.00	3.33				
892 Students felt comfortable asking questions.	4	1	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.17	4.50	4.77				
893 Graded assignments reflected the material covered.	3	2	0	0	0	0	4.67	4.10	4.38	4.67				
894 The grades in this course were fairly determined.	4	0	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.00	4.30	4.63				
895 Students' difficulty with the material was recognized.	4	1	0	0	0	0	4.88	3.86	4.13	4.46				
896 My expected grade in this course is (SA=A, A=B, N=C, D=D, SD=E).	4	1	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.36	4.67	4.86				
897 The course requirements were clearly defined.	5	0	1	0	0	0	4.90	4.17	4.45	4.71				
898 The instructor presented material clearly in lectures/discussions.	6	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.24	4.63	4.83				

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1

Kerner is a great professor. He clearly knows a lot of the subject and cares about his students. As someone who knew little about finance before this course, he did a great job of explaining basic concepts before we would dive into the readings and I learned a lot. With that said, there were some downfalls of the course. For example, the debate was a disaster. For the first debate, myself and the other girls in the group got saddled doing 90% of the work while the guys talked about football the entire time. While we were preparing arguments/counterarguments in our google doc, the guys wrote "we love football" "pizza rolls are awesome" and left an hour early while the 3 of us continued working on the debate. And in the end we all got the same grade. This is by no means Kerner's fault but in the future, he should steer clear of large group projects.

Student 2

I really enjoyed this class. It was certainly a push for me because I am unfamiliar with this area of work. But I was able to keep up and like the atmosphere in the class. I had a lot of fun doing the debates because I got to know many of my classmates very well.

Student 3

This was a great course. I would only suggest that the debates were of small groups because it was impossible to meet in person during midterms with a 12 person group! challenging!